

Inspection Facility, Room 6020, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. For further information or copies of the minutes, contact Lee Ann Carpenter on (202) 482-2583.

Dated: August 16, 1996.

Lee Ann Carpenter,

Director, Technical Advisory Committee Unit.

[FR Doc. 96-21355 Filed 8-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

International Trade Administration

[A-557-805]

Extruded Rubber Thread From Malaysia; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limit for antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits of the preliminary and final results of the third antidumping duty administrative review of the antidumping duty order on extruded rubber thread from Malaysia. The review covers the period October 1, 1994 through September 30, 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 22, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Laurel LaCivita or Thomas F. Futtner, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4740 or (202) 482-3814, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time limit, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results until November 27, 1996, and the final results until 180 days after publication of the preliminary results of review, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. (See Memorandum to the file dated July 22, 1996.)

These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: July 24, 1996.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-21462 Filed 8-21-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-560-801]

Notice of Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination: Melamine Institutional Dinnerware Products From Indonesia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 22, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David J. Goldberger, Everett Kelly, or Barbara Wojcik-Betancourt, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4136, (202) 482-4194, or (202) 482-0629, respectively.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act") are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act ("URAA").

Preliminary Determination

We preliminarily determine that melamine institutional dinnerware products ("MIDPs") from Indonesia are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value ("LTFV"), as provided in section 733 of the Act. The estimated margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the "Suspension of Liquidation" section of this notice.

Case History

Since the initiation of this investigation (*Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations: Melamine Institutional Dinnerware Products from Indonesia, Taiwan and the People's Republic of China* (61 FR 8039, March 1, 1996), the following events have occurred:

On March 22, 1996, the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC") issued an affirmative preliminary injury determination in this case (see ITC Investigation Nos. 731-TA-741, -742, and -743).

On April 15, 1996, the Department issued an antidumping duty questionnaire to the following companies identified by petitioners or

by the U.S. embassy in Indonesia as possible exporters of the subject merchandise: P.T. Multi Raya Indah Abadi ("Multiraya"), P.T. Meiwa Indonesia ("Meiwa"), P.T. Mayer Crocodile, and P.T. Impack Pratama. The questionnaire is divided into four sections. Section A requests general information concerning a company's corporate structure and business practices, the merchandise under investigation that it sells, and the sales of the merchandise in all of its markets. Sections B and C request home market sales listings and U.S. sales listings, respectively. Section D requests information on the cost of production ("COP") of the foreign like product and constructed value ("CV") of the subject merchandise.

On April 24, 1996, Meiwa advised the Department in a fax that it neither produces nor exports the subject merchandise. In a letter dated May 23, 1996, Impack Pratama stated it does not manufacture the subject merchandise. Multiraya filed a timely questionnaire response in this investigation (see below). P. T. Mayer Crocodile did not respond to the Department's questionnaire.

On May 30, 1996, petitioner, the American Melamine Institutional Tableware Association ("AMITA"), alleged that Multiraya had made sales in the home market at prices that were below COP, pursuant to section 773(b) of the Act. As a result, the Department began a COP investigation on June 11, 1996 (see June 11, 1996, memorandum from MIDP team to Gary Taverman, Acting Office Director, Office of Antidumping Investigations).

On June 6, 1996, the Department postponed the preliminary determination of this investigation and the companion investigations on melamine dinnerware products from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan until August 14, 1996, in accordance with section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act (61 FR 30219, June 14, 1996).

Multiraya submitted its questionnaire responses in May and June 1996. We issued a supplemental request for information in June and received the response to this request in July 1996. Multiraya submitted additional information supplementing its response during July 1996.

Petitioner filed comments on Multiraya's questionnaire responses in June, July and August 1996.

Postponement of Final Determination

On August 5, 1996, Multiraya requested that, pursuant to section 735(a)(2)(A) of the Act, in the event of an affirmative preliminary